
From: Feldt, Lisa
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2014 7:44 AM
To: Grevatt, Peter
Cc: Stoner, Nancy; Clark, Becki; Diamond, Jane
Subject: RE: letter going to CA today about UIC program review

Thanks Peter and Jane. I gave Administrator and Deputy a heads up. Sounds like things are in good space.

Lisa Feldt
Associate Deputy Administrator
Office of the Administrator
202-564-4711
feldt.lisa@epa.gov

From: Grevatt, Peter
Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2014 5:34 PM
To: Feldt, Lisa
Cc: Stoner, Nancy; Clark, Becki; Diamond, Jane
Subject: FW: letter going to CA today about UIC program review

Lisa, we've been in close coordination with the Region, particularly with the difficult task of reconstructing the paper records from the 1980's. I haven't seen the draft letter, but I think this is the right next step, provided it serves to continue the collaborative efforts between EPA and CA. So far, this has gone about as well as could be hoped, and credit goes to the Region for their good work with CA. There will be additional work to do with the state to come to a common understanding of how to address the 94 wells in question, and we keep in close coordination with the Region on this.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks, P.G.

From: Diamond, Jane
Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2014 2:29 PM
To: Grevatt, Peter; Stoner, Nancy; Loop, Travis; Feldt, Lisa; Clark, Becki
Cc: Montgomery, Michael; Blumenfeld, Jared; Zito, Kelly; Johnson, AudreyL; Albright, David; Dermer, Michele
Subject: letter going to CA today about UIC program review

I wanted to give you a quick update on how our CA oil and gas UIC discussions have evolved. The letter that we've been contemplating to trigger a UIC program review in CA is now being finalized and will go out today because we recently learned CA is planning to meet with O&G industry reps tomorrow and issue a press release which announces their planned review. It makes sense for CA to have our letter before their meeting and press release. The letter is going out under Jared's signature and will send it to you as soon as it's finalized.

The letter requests information re the potential for impacts to dw wells which the State has gathered but not shared, information/records the State may have re the number and location of aquifer exemptions and a comprehensive tiered review of class II wells. We've been working with OGWDW to review the historical records on primacy and aquifer exemptions to help inform our next steps with CA, because of ongoing uncertainty as to the number of non-hydrocarbon producing aquifer exemptions granted at the time of primacy and our respective staff are working diligently to clear this up.

We're updating our desk statement accordingly and will share with you. Jane